



Paper No. 16

Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi  
763 South New Ballas Road  
St. Louis, MO 63141-8750

COPY MAILED

JUL 16 2002

In re Application of  
Philip Needleman, et. al.  
Application No. 09/386,591  
Filed: August 31, 1999  
Attorney Docket No. MON-103.OCON

OFFICE OF PETITIONS  
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 3, 2002, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.


There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Joseph Skerpon appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts. However, if Joseph Skerpon desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$920.00 extension of time submitted with the petition on May 3, 2002 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

**The two-month period for filing an appeal brief in triplicate (accompanied by the fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c)), runs from the date of this decision.**

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 1600.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Andrea Coram at (703) 308-6711.

  
Andrea Coram  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

CC: Joseph M. Skerpon  
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.  
1001 G Street, NW - 11th Floor  
Washington, DC 20001-4597